

Sources

Hitzegi Batua dictionary (2010)

- prescriptive dictionary
- all subentries selected

Statistical Corpus of 20th Century Basque

- 4.7 million words
- balanced corpus
- manually tagged, including MWEs
- we selected all MWEs occurring 10 times or more

POS	Count
Verbs	837
Nouns	695
Adverbs	343
Quantifiers	113
Conjunctions	93
Adjectives	53
Interjections	33
Pronouns	20
Others	20
TOTAL	2,207

Representation

Lexical Database for Basque (EDBL)



- The purpose of the description is to formally encode all the possible surface realizations of each MWE.
 - We worked out a single representation covering all types of MWEs ranging from fixed expressions to those of highest morphosyntactic flexibility.
- The description of MWEs within EDBL includes, at least, three aspects:
- their **composition**, i.e. which the components of the MWE are, whether each of them can be inflected or not, and which one-word lexical unit conveys the morphosyntactic information to the whole MWE
 - their **surface realization**, that is, the order in which the components may occur in the text, the mandatory or optional contiguity of components, and the inflectional restrictions applicable to each one of the components. Different realization patterns may be defined for each MWE
 - their possible **ambiguity**, i.e. whether the sequence of words matching a given surface realization pattern must be unambiguously analyzed as an instance of the MWE or, on the contrary, may be analyzed as separate words in some contexts.

In total, we used **177 different realization patterns** and **145 inflection restrictions**.

Raw text

Erlijio alorrean Zaitegik berak egin zuen lan batez ere.
religion area.NE Zaitegi.ERG himself.ERG do-PART AUX.3S.PST work above all
It was specially Zaitegi himself who worked on the religion area.

Morphosyntactical analysis: EUSTAGGER

```
<Erlijio> <HAS MAI>
<erlijio> IZE ARR ZERO HAS MAI @KM>
<erlijio> IZE ARR ABS MG HAS MAI @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<alorrean>
<alor> IZE ARR INE NUMS MUGM @ADLG
<Zaitegik> <HAS MAI>
<Zaitegi> IZE LIB ERG NUMS MUGM HAS MAI @SUBJ
<berak>
<bera> ADJ ARR ERG MG AORG @SUBJ
<bera> ADJ ARR ABS NUMP MUGM AORG @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<bera> ADJ ARR ERG NUMS MUGM AORG @SUBJ
<berak> DET ERKIND NMGP ABS NUMP MUGM @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<bera> DET ERKIND NMGS ERG NUMS MUGM AORG @SUBJ
<egin>
<egin> ADI SIN ADOIN NOTDEK @-JADNAG
<egin> ADI SIN PART BURU NOTDEK @-JADNAG
<egin> IZE ARR ABS MG @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
[...]
<zuen>
<edun> ADL B1 NOR NORK NR HURA NK HARK @+JADLAG
<ukan> ADT PNT B1 NOR NORK NR HURA NK HARK @+JADNAG
<zuek> IOR PERARR ZUEK GEN NUMP MUGM ZERO @<IZLG @IZLG>
[...]
<lan>
<landu> ADI SIN ADOIN NOTDEK @-JADNAG
<lan> IZE ARR ABS MG @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
[...]
<batez>
<batez> IZE ARR INS MUG @ADLG
<bat> DET DZG INS MUG @ADLG
<bat> IZE ZKI INS MUG @ADLG
<ere>
<ere> LOT LOK EMEN @LOK
<$.> <PUNT PUNT>
PUNT PUNT
```

MWEs detected: HABIL

```
<Erlijio> <HAS MAI>
<erlijio> IZE ARR ZERO HAS MAI @KM>
<erlijio> IZE ARR ABS MG HAS MAI @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<alorrean>
<alor> IZE ARR INE NUMS MUGM @ADLG
<Zaitegik> <HAS MAI>
<Zaitegi> IZE LIB ERG NUMS MUGM HAS MAI @SUBJ
<berak>
<bera> ADJ ARR ERG MG AORG @SUBJ
<bera> ADJ ARR ABS NUMP MUGM AORG @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<bera> ADJ ARR ERG NUMS MUGM AORG @SUBJ
<berak> DET ERKIND NMGP ABS NUMP MUGM @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<bera> DET ERKIND NMGS ERG NUMS MUGM AORG @SUBJ
<egin> <1-2>
<egin> ADI SIN ADOIN NOTDEK @-JADNAG
<egin> ADI SIN PART BURU NOTDEK @-JADNAG
<lan_egin> ADI ADK ADOIN NOTDEK mwl @-JADNAG
<lan_egin> ADI ADK PART BURU NOTDEK mwl @-JADNAG
[...]
<zuen>
<edun> ADL B1 NOR NORK NR HURA NK HARK @+JADLAG
<ukan> ADT PNT B1 NOR NORK NR HURA NK HARK @+JADNAG
<zuek> IOR PERARR ZUEK GEN NUMP MUGM ZERO @<IZLG @IZLG>
[...]
<lan> <2-2>
<landu> ADI SIN ADOIN NOTDEK @-JADNAG
<lan> IZE ARR ABS MG @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<lan_egin> ADI ADK ADOIN mwl NOTDEK @-JADNAG
<lan_egin> ADI ADK PART BURU NOTDEK mwl @-JADNAG
[...]
<batez_ere>
<batez_ere> LOT LOK EMEN mwl @LOK
<$.> <PUNT PUNT>
PUNT PUNT
```

MWEs disambiguated: CG grammar

```
<Erlijio> <HAS MAI>
<erlijio> IZE ARR ZERO HAS MAI @KM>
<erlijio> IZE ARR ABS MG HAS MAI @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<alorrean>
<alor> IZE ARR INE NUMS MUGM @ADLG
<Zaitegik> <HAS MAI>
<Zaitegi> IZE LIB ERG NUMS MUGM HAS MAI @SUBJ
<berak>
<bera> ADJ ARR ERG MG AORG @SUBJ
<bera> ADJ ARR ABS NUMP MUGM AORG @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<bera> ADJ ARR ERG NUMS MUGM AORG @SUBJ
<berak> DET ERKIND NMGP ABS NUMP MUGM @OBJ @PRED @SUBJ
<bera> DET ERKIND NMGS ERG NUMS MUGM AORG @SUBJ
<egin> <1-2>
<lan_egin> ADI ADK ADOIN NOTDEK mwl @-JADNAG
<lan_egin> ADI ADK PART BURU NOTDEK mwl @-JADNAG
[...]
<zuen>
<edun> ADL B1 NOR NORK NR HURA NK HARK @+JADLAG
<ukan> ADT PNT B1 NOR NORK NR HURA NK HARK @+JADNAG
<zuek> IOR PERARR ZUEK GEN NUMP MUGM ZERO @<IZLG @IZLG>
[...]
<lan> <2-2>
<lan_egin> ADI ADK ADOIN mwl NOTDEK @-JADNAG
<lan_egin> ADI ADK PART BURU NOTDEK mwl @-JADNAG
[...]
<batez_ere>
<batez_ere> LOT LOK EMEN mwl @LOK
<$.> <PUNT PUNT>
PUNT PUNT
```

Example (EDBL)

Composition of the MWE

- Lemma (sarrera):** *aditzera* 'to announce' lit. 'to give to understand'
- Part of Speech (kategoria):** verb (ADI)
- Components (osagaiak):** VB *aditu* (understand) + VB *eman* (give)
- Component conveying morpho-syntactical information to the whole MWE:** *eman*

Surface Realization Pattern of MWE

For MWE *aditzera eman* there are 4 realization patterns corresponding to 4 possible orders of components:

- Order of components (ordena-jarratasuna):**
 - contiguous: 12 and 21
 - split: 1+2 and 2+1
- Inflectional restrictions (flexio-murriztapena):**
 - 1. first component (*aditzera*, 'to understand') is fixed [-]
 - 2. second component (*eman*, 'to give') may take any inflection [%]
- Unambiguity (ziurra):**
 - orders 12 and 21 are unambiguous
 - 1+2 and 2+1 are ambiguous.

MWE processor HABIL

- It deals with both **contiguous** and **split MWEs**
- It takes into account **all the possible orders** of the components
- It checks that **inflectional restrictions** are complied with
- It generates morphosyntactic **interpretations** for the MWE

Example (CG grammar rule)

CG disambiguation grammar

RULE

ADD { %MWE } → **TARGET: MWEs in list LAN-EGIN**

TARGET LAN-EGIN → **CONDITIONS (IF):**

IF (0 EGIN) → 0 position: 2nd component is a verb in list EGIN

(1 EDUN/EZAN) (NOT 1 NOR-HAIEK) → 1 position: TR AUX (*edun* or *ezan*) ; OBJ NOT 3P

(2 LAN AND LAN-EGIN) → 2 position: 1st component is a verb in list LAN and marked as MWE in list LAN-EGIN

(NOT 3 IZENONDO OR POSDET) → 3 position: NOT post.ADJ or post.DET

LISTS

LIST LAN-EGIN = "lan_egin" "hitz_egin" "ihes_egin" "parte_hartu" ;

LIST LAN = "lan" "hitz" "ihes" "parte" ;

LIST EGIN = "egin" "hartu" ;

LIST EDUN/EZAN = "*edun" "*ezan" ;

LIST NOR-HAIEK = NR HAIEK ;

LIST IZENONDO = (ADJ IZAU-) ;

LIST POSDET = (DET ERKARR) (DET BAN)

"berbera" "bat" "batzuk" "bi" "anitz" "aski" "asko" "dena" "franko" "guzti" "gutxi" "gehiago" "gehiegi" ... ;

CG disambiguation grammar

- PREMISE:** Many MWEs may undergo more restricted variations than literal uses.
- We chosen the **20 most frequent MWEs** described in the lexical database having at least one **ambiguous** realization pattern.
 - For the development of the grammar, we built a **sub-corpus of 21,125 sentences** from the *Statistical Corpus of 20th Century Basque*
 - The sub-corpus which contained occurrences of word combinations corresponding to both MWE and literal interpretations.
 - The grammar we have built consists of **111 rules**, many of which can be reused to disambiguate MWEs of the same type.
 - The grammar has proved to have 98.90% coverage and 98.88% accuracy.

CONCLUSION: For many Basque MWEs, morphosyntactic context can be enough to resolve ambiguity.

REFERENCES

Aldezabal I., Ansa O., Arrieta B., Artola X., Ezeiza A., Hernández G. and Lersundi M. (2001). EDBL: a general lexical basis for the automatic processing of Basque. *IRCS Workshop on Linguistic Databases*, Philadelphia, USA.

Aduriz I., Agirre E., Aldezabal I., Alegria I., Ansa O., Arregi X., Arriola J.M., Artola X., Diaz de Ilarraza A., Ezeiza N., Gojenola K., Maritxalar M., Oronoz M., Sarasola K., Sorola A. and Urizar R. (1998). A framework for the automatic processing of Basque. *Proceedings of Workshop on Lexical Resources for Minority Languages*, Granada, Spain.

Baldwin T. and Kim S.N. (2010). Multiword expressions. In Indurkha N. and Damerau F. (Eds.), *Handbook of Natural Language Processing*, 267-292. CRC Press, 2nd edition.

Copstake A., Lambau F., Villavicencio A., Bond F.B., Baldwin T., Sag I. and Flickinger D. (2002). Multiword Expressions: linguistic precision and reusability. *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation, LREC 2002*, 1941-1947, Las Palmas, Spain.

Euskaltzaindia (2000). *Hitzegi Batua*. Euskera, XLV(2):475-757, Bilbao, Spain.

Hashimoto C., Sato S. and Utsuro T. (2006). Japanese idiom recognitions: Drawing a line between literal and idiomatic meanings. *Proceedings of the COLING/ACL 2006 Interactive Poster Session*, 353-360, Sydney, Australia.

Karlsson F., Voutilainen A., Heikkilä J. and Anttila A. (1995). *Constraint Grammar: Language-independent System for Parsing Unrestricted Text*. Prentice-Hall, Berlin, Germany.

Li W., Zhang X., Niu C., Jiang Y. and Srihari R. (2003). An expert lexicon approach to identifying English phrasal verbs. *Proceedings of the ACL2003 Workshop on Multiword Expressions: analysis, acquisition and treatment*, 513-520, Sapporo, Japan.

Tapanainen P. (1996). *The Constraint Grammar parser CG-2*. Publications of the University of Helsinki, 27, Helsinki, Finland.